May 11, 2005

Dear Sir or Madam:

Clara City - Main Facility

55 NW 1st Street P O Box 430 Clara City, MN 56222 Phone 320-847-3702 Fax 320-847-3778

Maynard Branch

P O Box 245 Maynard, MN 56260 Phone 320-367-2183 Fax 320-367-2184

Granite Falls Branch

918 Prentice Street Granite Falls, MN 56241 Phone 320-564-4522 Fax 320-564-4431 As a community banker, I strongly support any steps that will ease the regulatory burden that community bankers confront every day and that unnecessarily us up time and energy that should be devoted to serving our customers and communities. The agencies' CRA proposal to increase the threshold for the streamlined CRA exams to \$1 billion is a step in the right direction.

With the many changes our industry has undergone in the last 25 years, especially the many mergers and the appearance of huge banks that operate nationwide, it is time to adjust the CRA rule and set a \$1 billion benchmark for tiered examinations. Simply applying the current streamlined CRA exam to banks with up to \$1 billion in assets would reduce burden more than the current proposal. However, adding a separate review for community development activities for intermediate banks (between \$250 million and \$1 billion) is an acceptable compromise

It also would be less burdensome and simpler if the agencies added a community development factor to the existing CRA streamlined review. However, as the survival of community banks is intertwined with the health of the local economy, a separate community development test will still examine community banks for community reinvestment activities they would undertake with or without CRA.

The proposed review of a combination of community development lending, investments, and services under a community development test will be much more flexible than the existing separate and overly restrictive large bank tests. This flexibility will allow intermediate sized community banks across the company to serve their markets in the most

www.citizens-state-bank.com

appropriate way, given their own strengths and the needs of their communities. However, for burden reduction to be realized, examiners must understand how to apply this flexibility.

Expanding the definition of community development to include activities that benefit rural communities is also important. Unlike metropolitan areas, rural areas often are not neatly divided into low-or moderate-income areas. Allowing CRA credit for efforts that benefit the local community, such as schools and local infrastructure, will let community banks support pressing local needs, rather than make investments that benefit an area on the other side of the state, as is often the case under current rules. Any definition of "rural," however, must be broad enough and easily applied to be workable.

Finally, I support expanding the definition of community development to include activities that benefit areas designated as disaster areas. It should be a simple matter for the bank to determine if an area is qualified, such as designation by a government authority. As disaster areas have special redevelopment needs, it is fitting that activities benefiting these area qualify under CRA

Regulatory burden disproportionately impacts community banks. Many are merging or selling under the pressures presented by regulatory burden Without regulatory relief, many communities will lose their local institution, to the detriment of the entire community

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Bergnuis

President

/kd